Ford Greene
California State Bar No. 107601
HUB LAW OFFICES
711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard
San Anselmo, California 94960-1949
Attorney for Defendant GERALD ARMSTRONG

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,) a California not-for-profit religious corporation, Plaintiff,
vs.
GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON; THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION, ) a California for-profit corporation; DOES 1 through 100, ) inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 157680

> ARMSTRONG'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY; DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE; [PYOPOSEd] ORDER


TO: CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTENTIONAL AND ITS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TARE NOTICE that on August 30 , 1993, at $1: 30$ p.m., in Department 1 of the above-entitled Court, located at the Hall of Justice, Marin County Civic Center, San Rafael, California, defendant Gerald Armstrong, by and through his attorney of record, Ford Greene, will seek ex parte orders allowing him an extension of thirty (30) days to respond to the complaint herein and to respond to plaintiff's first request for production of documents.

This ex parte application is based upon the ground that
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defendant Armstrong obtained counsel in this matter on August 28, 1993, and on the ground that the instant lawsuit duplicates two other currently existing lawsuits based upon the same subject matter: to wit, alleged violations of a settlement contract. The legal basis for the instant application includes, but is not limited to California Rule of Court 379, Local Rule 2.10, and C.C.P. section 2031 (h).

This ex parte application is based upon this notice, the attached declaration of Ford Greene, the court's files and records in this case and such other material as is presented in support of the application.

DATED: August 30, 1993
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## DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE

FORD GREENE declares:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the courts of the state of California and am the attorney of record for GERALD ARMSTRONG, defendant herein.
2. On Saturday, August 28, 1993, Gerald Armstrong and I entered into an agreement whereby I will provide representation to him in the above matter.
3. I am informed and believe and allege thereon that Armstrong was personally served with a copy of the summons and complaint in this matter on July 30, 1993. Therefore, the date upon which Armstrong shall respond thereto is August 30, 1993.
4. The herein lawsuit is the third current and active lawsuit that plaintiff has brought and is litigating against Armstrong concerning his alleged breaches of the settlement contract that is attached as Exhibit A to Scientology's complaint.
a. Litigation of the original lawsuit, brought as Marin County Superior Court Case No. 152 229, transferred to Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 052 395, is currently subject to a stay order while the legality of a preliminary injunction issued therein is litigated in the Second District Court of Appeal Case No. B 069450.
b. Scientology has sued Armstrong in another action, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 084642 filed July 1993, which is also predicated upon alleged allegations of the settlement contract.
5. Therefore, there are issues whether or not Scientology is improperly splitting a cause of action or otherwise engaging in
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a multiplicity of duplicative litigation.
6. On August 9, 1993 Scientology served Armstrong with Plaintiff's First Request for the Production of Documents by Defendant Gerald Armstrong. I have reviewed said request and note that most of the items designated therein relate to the lawsuits referenced in Paragraphs 4(a) and 4(b), above, rather than to the subject matter of the instant lawsuit. The date for response to the request for production is Friday, September 3, 1993.
7. I am not prepared to respond to the complaint and request an extension of time of thirty (30) days to so respond. Additionally, due to the duplicative nature of this litigation $I$ will need to file a motion for a protective order regarding the pending request for production. Therefore, I hereby request an extension of time of thirty (30) days to respond to the request for production as well.
8. I have given notice of the present application for ex parts orders to counsel for plaintiff in the following manner:
a. By letter telecopied on August 30, 1993 at 9:10 abm.
9. I received the following response to said notice: None.

Under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California $I$ hereby declare that the foregoing is true and correct according to my firsthand knowledge, except those matters stated to be on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Executed on August 30, 1993, at San Anselmo, california


## ORDER

GOOD CAUSE appearing therefor, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendant Gerald Armstrong shall have through and including September 29, 1993 to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint herein.

GOOD CAUSE appearing therefor, it is FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Gerald Armstrong shall have through and including September 29, 1993, to respond to plaintiff's first request for the production of documents.

DATED: AUG 301993

GERALD E. RAGAN
Judge of the Superior Court

August 30, 1993

Andrew H. Wilson
By Telecopier
WILSON, RYAN \& CAMPILONGO
415-954-0938
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450
San Francisco, California 94104
RE: Scientology v. Armstrong
Marin County Superior Court
Case No. 157680

Dear Mr. Wilson:
Please be, advised that I am going to represent Mr. Armstrong in Scientologys fourth lawsuit against him.

It is my intention to seek the following ex parte orders:

1. To extend the time to plead 30 days; and
2. To extending the time to resfind to the request for production for 30 days.

I will make the application today at 1:30 PM in Department 1.

: acg
cc: Laurie J. Bartilson

HUB LAW OFFICES
711 SIR FRATCIS DRAKE BOULEYRRD

IMPORTANT:
This relecopy is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may concain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicabla lav. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent respansible for delivering the transmission to the intended reciplent, you are bereby notifled that any dissemianarion, distribution, copying or use of this rransmission or its contents is strictly probibired. If you bave received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephone and retum the orisinal iransmission to the offlce at the above address.

## DATE:

August 30,1993
TO: ANDREW H. WILSON, ESQUIRE
TELEPHONE:
(415)391-3900

FAX TELEPHONE:
(415)954-0938

FROM:
FORD GREENE
TEIEPHONE:
(415) 258-0360

FAX-TEIEPHONE:
$(415) \quad 456-5318$

## DOCUMENT INFORMATION

This Fax Communication consists of this cover sheet plus one. pages comprising the accompanying document.

Letter re ex parte application in Dept One in Armstrong IV

INSTRUCTIONS
CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS FAX BY TELEPHONE

HARD COPY TO FOLLOW BY MAIL

IF ALL PAGES ARE NOT RECEIVED OR ARE NOT LEGIBLE, PLEASE TELEPHONE AND NOTIFY SENDER IMMEDIATELY.

OTHER:

I am employed in the county of Marin, state of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the above entitled action. My business address is 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, San Anselmo, California. I served the following
documents: ARMSTRONG'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND TO RESPOND TO DISCOPVERY; DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE; [POrpOsed] ORDER
on the following person(s) on the date set forth below, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United states Mail at San Anselmo, California:

Andrew H. Wilson
WILSON, RYAN \& CAMPILONGO
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450
San Francisco, California 94104
[ ] (By Mail) I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at San Anselmo, California.
[ ] (Personal I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand Service) to the offices of the addressee.
[ ] (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the above is true and correct.
[ ] (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

DATED: August 30, 1993
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